Getting Your First 10 AI Clients

By Joe Giler

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Why Your AI Skills Aren't Selling Yet

Let's start with the thing nobody tells you when you finish an AI course, a YouTube rabbit hole, or six months of building agents in your spare room: **being good at AI and being able to sell AI work are two completely unrelated skills.** They share almost nothing. You can be in the top 5% of prompt engineers on the planet and still have zero clients. You can be mediocre at the tech and have a waiting list.

That gap is where most people quit. They assume the problem is that they need to learn more — another model, another framework, another certificate — because learning is comfortable and selling is not. So they go back to tutorials. Six months later they're better at AI and still have no clients.

This chapter is about closing that gap honestly. Not with tricks. With a clear-eyed look at what's actually broken in how you're presenting yourself, and what to do about it this week.

The Uncomfortable Math of Your First Ten Clients

Before anything else, let's get the numbers on the table so you know what you're signing up for. These are estimates based on typical outbound and referral funnels in professional services — treat them as a planning range, not a guarantee, because your niche, your network, and your city will shift them significantly.

- **Cold outreach to a stranger with no context:** expect roughly a 1–5% reply rate if your message is genuinely relevant and specific. Below 1% means your targeting or your message is broken. Above 10% sustained usually means you found a very hot niche — or you're counting "no thanks" as a reply, which you shouldn't.
- **Reply to booked call:** maybe 20–40% of positive replies turn into an actual scheduled conversation.
- **Call to paid engagement:** for a small, well-scoped first project, somewhere between 20% and 50% depending on how well you run the call

and how cheap the entry point is.

Run that through. If you send 200 well-targeted cold messages, you might get 4–10 replies, 2–4 calls, and 1–2 clients. That is the honest shape of it. If someone tells you they closed nine clients from twenty cold emails, either they had a warm network they're not mentioning, they got extraordinarily lucky in a niche with desperate demand, or they're lying to sell you a course.

Here's the flip side, and it's the actually useful part: **warm and referred leads convert several times better than cold ones.** A conversation that starts with "Dave said you might be able to help with our quoting process" converts at a totally different rate than a cold LinkedIn message. Not because of magic — because trust is already transferred. Everything in this book is designed to shorten the distance between you and someone who already has a reason to believe you.

So the strategic answer to "how do I get ten clients" is not "send a thousand cold emails." It's "get to your first two clients by any honest means available, then engineer the next eight out of proof, referral, and reputation." The first two are the hard ones. They cost the most effort per client. Accept that now and you won't quit in week three.

Reason One: You're Selling AI, and Nobody Buys AI

This is the single most common failure and it's almost universal among technical people.

You've built something genuinely impressive. A RAG pipeline over company documents. An agent that browses and summarizes. A fine-tuned classifier. And you describe it — accurately — as what it is. "I build custom AI automations and RAG systems for businesses."

The business owner reading that hears: *noise*.

Not because they're stupid. Because they don't have a "custom AI automation" problem. Nobody wakes up at 3am worried about their lack of a RAG pipeline. They wake up at 3am worried that:

- They're going to lose the Henderson account because their team took nine days to send a proposal.

- Their best estimator is retiring in four months and nobody else knows how the pricing works.
- They're paying two people to retype information from PDFs into a spreadsheet.
- They keep missing inbound leads over the weekend and have no idea how many.
- Their support inbox has 400 unread emails and the same eleven questions in all of them.

Those are the things people spend money on. AI is the mechanism. The mechanism is not the product. Nobody buys a drill bit because they love drill bits; they buy it because they need a hole. This is the oldest idea in sales and technical people ignore it more consistently than anyone.

The fix is a translation exercise, and you should do it literally, on paper, today.

Make two columns. On the left, write the capability. On the right, write the business outcome in the language the buyer already uses — the words they'd say to their own business partner. Not your words. Theirs.

- *"RAG over internal docs"* → "Your new hires stop interrupting your senior people with questions that are already answered in the handbook."
- *"Structured extraction from PDFs"* → "Supplier invoices go straight into your accounting system instead of someone retyping them."
- *"Automated email triage and drafting"* → "Every inbound enquiry gets a real answer within ten minutes, including Sunday."
- *"LLM-generated first-draft content"* → "Your marketing person ships four pieces a week instead of one, and you stop paying a freelancer $600 a month for blog posts nobody reads."
- *"Voice transcription plus summarization"* → "Site visit notes get written up before the truck leaves the driveway."

Notice what happened. Every right-hand item contains a person, a time, a cost, or a risk. That's what makes it real. The left column is a feature. The right column is a consequence.

Here's the sharper version of this rule, and it's the one that actually moves money: **the buyer must be able to picture the change in their own week.** If they can't see Tuesday being different, they won't pay. "AI-powered efficiency gains" doesn't change Tuesday. "You stop spending Tuesday morning writing quotes" changes Tuesday.

Reason Two: You Have No Proof, and You're Pretending That's Fine

The second killer is the credibility hole. You say you can do the thing. The buyer has no reason to believe you. And here's what's genuinely difficult about this moment in the market: **a lot of people are saying exactly what you're saying, and some meaningful percentage of them can't deliver.**

Since the generative AI boom, every service market has been flooded with people who took a weekend course and now describe themselves as AI consultants. Buyers have been burned. Many of them have already paid someone $3,000 for a chatbot that hallucinated their return policy and got quietly switched off. That's your competition's reputation, and you inherit the suspicion whether you deserve it or not.

So the standard for proof is higher than you think, and vaguer proof is worse than none. "I've helped businesses save thousands of hours" is a sentence that lowers trust, because everyone says it and nobody can verify it.

What actually counts as proof, in rough order of strength:

1. **A named client who will vouch for you.** Unbeatable. This is why the first two clients matter so much.
2. **A specific, verifiable before/after with real numbers you personally measured.** "Before: 40 minutes per quote, measured across 12 quotes. After: 9 minutes, measured across the same job types." Even without the client's name attached, the specificity signals you've actually done it.
3. **A working thing they can touch right now.** A live demo, a URL, a tool they can put their own data into. This is enormously underrated and I'll come

back to it.

4. **A build you did for yourself or a free pilot, described honestly as such.** "I built this for my own business, here's what it does, here's where it breaks." Honesty about limitations reads as competence.
5. **Public artifacts: teardowns, walkthroughs, posts where you solve a real problem in public.** Slow-burning but compounds.

What does *not* count as proof: your certificate, the courses you've taken, the model names you can list, your GitHub of tutorial repos, or the fact that you "have been working with AI since GPT-3." None of that answers the buyer's actual question, which is: *if I give you money, will something in my business get better, and will you disappear?*

The zero-proof escape hatch. If you genuinely have nothing, you have two honest moves and they both work.

The first is the **build-it-first approach**. Pick a specific business type. Learn their workflow in enough detail to be dangerous. Build a working prototype of something useful to that entire category — not to one company — and then show it to ten of them. You are no longer asking them to imagine. You're showing them a thing.

The second is the **honest pilot**. Go to two or three businesses you have some connection to and say, plainly: "I'm building a practice around this. I've done the technical work but I haven't done it inside a business like yours yet. I'll do this specific piece for free or near-free. What I want in exchange is your honest feedback and, if it works, permission to talk about the result." That's not begging. That's a clear trade and buyers respect it far more than a fake veneer of experience.

What you must not do is invent case studies. Beyond the obvious ethics, it fails practically — a buyer will ask a follow-up question and you'll have to improvise details about a company that doesn't exist, and they will hear it. Fabricated proof is a business built on a fault line.

Reason Three: Your Offer Is Too Big to Say Yes To

Here's a pattern I see constantly. Someone with no client history proposes a $12,000, three-month "AI transformation engagement" to a business that has never bought AI anything.

They wonder why it doesn't land.

It doesn't land because you are asking a stranger to make a big, hard-to-reverse decision on incomplete information. Buyers are not evaluating whether AI works. They're evaluating whether *you* work, and they have no data. A big first ask forces them to resolve all their uncertainty at once, and the safest way to resolve uncertainty is to say "let me think about it," which means no.

Shrink the first yes. Your opening offer should be small enough that a decision-maker can approve it without a meeting, a committee, or a sleepless night. In most small and mid-sized businesses that's roughly the amount they'd spend without agonizing — often somewhere in the few-hundred to low-thousands range, though this varies wildly by industry and company size. The point isn't a specific number. The point is that it sits below their "I need to think about this" threshold.

Good small first offers share three traits:

- **Narrow scope.** One workflow. One document type. One inbox. Not "your operations."
- **Short timeline.** Days or a couple of weeks. Long enough to do real work, short enough that they see a result before they forget they hired you.
- **Visible output.** Something they can look at and judge. A working tool, a delivered artifact, a measured comparison.

Concretely, first engagements that tend to work:

- **A paid audit.** You spend a few hours mapping where their time actually goes, then deliver a written document identifying the three highest-value automation candidates with estimated time savings and honest difficulty ratings. This is genuinely valuable on its own, and it hands you a fully-informed proposal for the bigger work.
- **One workflow, end to end.** Pick the single most annoying repetitive task in the business and kill it. Nothing else.

- **A working prototype with a decision point.** Build the smallest usable version, put it in front of them, and agree in advance: if it hits X, we continue; if it doesn't, we shake hands and stop.

The audit deserves special mention because it solves your credibility problem sideways. You're not asking them to trust you with their systems. You're asking them to let you look and think. It's low risk for them, and it puts you inside the business where you'll find three more problems you can solve. In practice, a good audit converts to further work far more often than a cold pitch does — I won't put a fake percentage on it, but the mechanism is obvious: you now know things about their business that no competitor knows.

Reason Four: You're Talking to the Wrong People

You can have a perfect offer and perfect proof and still fail if you're aiming it at people who can't buy, don't hurt, or don't have money.

Three separate filters, and a lead has to pass all three.

Filter one: can this person actually say yes? In a 300-person company, the marketing manager who loves your idea may need three approvals and a procurement process. In a 12-person company, the owner decides over coffee. Early on, aim almost exclusively at businesses where one identifiable human can commit money without asking permission. That usually means owner-operated firms, small professional practices, or a department head with real discretionary budget.

Filter two: do they have a problem that costs them something visible? AI doesn't help a business that's calm. It helps a business that's drowning, bottlenecked, or bleeding time. Look for signs of pain you can observe from outside: job postings for roles that are obviously data entry, a website with a contact form and no visible response process, an owner who publicly complains about admin, growth that's outpacing headcount.

Filter three: is there money? A business with three people and thin margins may genuinely need what you do and simply not have $2,000 to spend. That's not a rejection of you. It's arithmetic. Be careful with pre-revenue startups too — enthusiastic, fast-moving, frequently unable to pay.

Now here's the part people resist: **pick one narrow category and go deep.**

Every instinct tells you to stay broad so you don't miss opportunities. It's exactly wrong at this stage. Here's why niching wins for a beginner specifically:

- **You can actually learn the workflow.** Talk to eight dental practices and you will know more about dental practice admin than a generalist ever will. That knowledge is what makes your outreach specific instead of generic.
- **Your proof transfers.** A result for one plumbing contractor is directly meaningful to the next plumbing contractor. A result for a random SaaS company means nothing to a law firm.
- **You get referrals inside a community.** Trades, professional services, and local businesses talk to each other constantly. Being "the AI person for X" is a position you can occupy in a small world.
- **You can reuse your builds.** The second implementation takes a third of the time. That's your margin.

How to choose a niche, practically: list every industry where you have any personal connection, prior job experience, or family/friend access. Cross out any where the businesses are too small to pay or too big to decide quickly. From what's left, pick the one where you can name at least five specific, repetitive, text-or-document-heavy tasks. That last criterion matters — AI in 2026 is still fundamentally best at language, documents, structured extraction, drafting, classification, and summarization. Pick an industry that drowns in text and you're pushing with the current.

Reason Five: You're Waiting to Feel Ready

This one is quieter and more destructive than the rest, because it disguises itself as diligence.

The internal monologue goes: *I'll start reaching out once I've finished this build / learned this framework / built a portfolio site / understood evals properly*. And each of those is a real thing worth doing, which is what makes it such an effective hiding place.