

Price Your AI Work So You Stop Undercharging

By Joe Giler

Table of Contents

1. Chapter 1: Why Hourly Pricing Punishes You for Using AI
2. Chapter 2: Raise Your Rates on Existing Clients Without Losing Them
3. Chapter 3: Work Out Your Real Floor Rate: Costs, Taxes, Tools, and Unbilled Time
4. Chapter 4: Handle 'ChatGPT Could Do This for Free' Objections Honestly
5. Chapter 5: Estimate the Value of an Automation Without Making Up ROI Numbers
6. Chapter 6: When to Discount, and When a Discount Is a Warning Sign
7. Chapter 7: Three Pricing Models That Work for AI Services: Project, Value, and Subscription
8. Chapter 8: Price Test on Your Next Five Proposals and Track the Results
9. Chapter 9: Build a Good-Better-Best Offer Clients Can Say Yes To
10. Chapter 10: Invoice, Deposit, and Payment Terms That Protect Your Cash Flow
11. Chapter 11: Price Setup Fees and Monthly Maintenance as Separate Line Items
12. Chapter 12: Your One-Page Pricing Sheet: Build It, Use It, Revise It Quarterly
13. Chapter 7: Pass Through API and Tool Costs Without Eating the Overages
14. Chapter 8: Quote Scoped Work Without Getting Trapped by Scope Creep
15. Conclusion
16. References and Further Reading

Chapter 1: Why Hourly Pricing Punishes You for Using AI

Here's the problem this book exists to fix. You got good at using AI, so you now do in two hours what used to take eight. If you bill by the hour, your reward for that skill is a 75% pay cut on the same job. The client gets the same deliverable, maybe a better one, and you take home a quarter of what you used to.

That isn't a small tweak you can fix by bumping your hourly rate. It's a structural problem. Hourly billing ties your income to your inefficiency. The better you get with Claude, ChatGPT, Midjourney, Descript, Cursor, or whatever tools you use, the less you earn per job. You're being paid to be slow.

This chapter covers why that happens, what clients are actually paying for, how to work out the real floor under your prices, and how to move off pure hourly billing without scaring your clients or lying to them. I'll also tell you where hourly still makes sense, because it isn't always wrong, and anyone who says it is has something to sell you.

A plain disclaimer before we start: this book is about pricing strategy and business judgment. It isn't legal, tax, or financial advice. Taxes, contracts, and business structure depend on where you live and your personal situation. Before you change contracts, restructure how you invoice, or make decisions with tax consequences, talk to a qualified accountant or attorney.

The Efficiency Trap, in Plain Numbers

Here's an **illustrative scenario**. It's not a real person, just clean arithmetic so the mechanics are easy to see.

Dana is a freelance copywriter. Before she used AI tools, a 2,000-word blog post took her about six hours: research, outline, draft, edit, formatting. She charges \$60 an hour, so the client pays \$360 per post.

Now Dana uses Claude for research synthesis and a first-draft outline, a grammar and style tool for cleanup, and her own judgment for the voice, the angle, and the fact-checking. The same post takes her about two and a half hours. And the quality is arguably higher, because she spends her attention on the parts that matter instead of on typing.

Under hourly billing, that post now pays \$150. She gets a \$210 pay cut for being better at her job.

It gets worse from there:

- **Her costs went up.** She now pays for AI subscriptions. A realistic 2026 stack for a working freelancer (a paid tier of one or two chat assistants plus a specialist tool or two) often runs somewhere between \$40 and \$150 a month. That's an estimate, and it varies a lot by what you use. None of it shows up on an hourly invoice.
- **She spent unbilled time learning the tools.** Getting good at prompting, building templates, and learning where the tools fail took her dozens of hours she never invoiced.
- **Her risk went up.** AI output can contain confident errors, invented citations, and bland sameness. The checking and correcting is real work that carries real professional liability, and it's the part of the job that actually protects the client.

So she spends more money, carries more risk, and delivers better work, and she's paid less. That's the trap. If you bill hourly and use AI, you're probably in it right now, whether or not you've done the math.

Why "Just Raise the Hourly Rate" Doesn't Fix It

The obvious counter is: fine, charge \$144 an hour instead of \$60, and the post comes out to \$360 again.

On paper that works. In practice it breaks down in four places.

1. **Clients compare hourly rates, not outcomes.** A prospect who sees "\$144/hour" next to a competitor's "\$55/hour" reacts to the number before

they think about the total. You'll lose deals to people whose invoices end up the same or higher, because hourly rates are easy to compare and totals aren't.

2. **Your efficiency keeps improving.** Next year the tools get better and you get faster. Two and a half hours becomes ninety minutes. Now you need \$240 an hour to hold the same price. You'd be raising your hourly rate forever just to stand still, and every raise is a new conversation you have to win.
3. **It trains clients to police your time.** Hourly clients ask "why did this take four hours?" They ask for time breakdowns. Some start asking whether you used AI so they can argue the hours down. You end up defending your process when the conversation should be about the result.
4. **It creates a quiet incentive to pad.** Once you notice that working faster costs you money, there's pressure to work slower, stretch tasks, or round up. I'm going to be blunt: billing hours you didn't work is dishonest, and if a client audits you it can damage or end the relationship. Most people who start padding don't mean to. They slide into it because the model rewards it. The fix is to change the model, not to fudge the timesheet.

A high hourly rate treats the symptom. The disease is that the unit you're selling, time, isn't what the client wants.

What the Client Is Actually Buying

No client has ever wanted your hours. They want what your hours produce, and what that produces for them.

A business owner hiring a copywriter doesn't want "six hours of writing." They want a page that ranks, an email that gets replies, a product description that sells. A company hiring a designer wants a brand that looks trustworthy. Someone hiring an automation consultant wants their team to stop wasting ten hours a week copying data between spreadsheets.

Split that into three layers. Once you see them, you'll price differently:

- **The deliverable:** the tangible thing. A blog post, a logo set, a working Zapier or Make workflow, an edited podcast episode.
- **The outcome:** what the deliverable does. Traffic, leads, time saved, fewer errors, a launch that happens on schedule.
- **The relief:** what the client stops worrying about. They don't have to learn the tool, manage the process, or be the one who gets blamed if it's wrong.

Hourly pricing only captures a slice of the first layer. Project and value-based pricing let you charge for all three. AI makes the gap between them bigger, because it collapses the time needed for the deliverable while leaving the outcome and relief just as valuable to the client as before.

Here's the uncomfortable part: **if the only thing you sell is the deliverable, and AI makes the deliverable cheap to produce, you're in trouble whatever pricing model you use.** The client can open ChatGPT themselves. Your price holds up only to the extent you deliver judgment, taste, accountability, context about their business, and results the client couldn't easily get on their own. That's hard to hear, and you need to hear it early.

The Hidden Costs That Hourly Billing Hides

Before you can price properly you need to know your real costs. Most freelancers underprice because they compare their rate to what employees earn and forget everything an employer quietly pays for. Here's what you actually have to cover.

1. Non-billable time

You don't bill 40 hours a week. Nobody does. Sales calls, proposals, invoicing, email, admin, learning, marketing, and chasing late payments all eat time. A common rule of thumb among freelancers is that 50% to 70% of working hours end up billable. That's an estimate, not a study, and your number might be lower, especially early on. Track a month of your real time in something like Toggl Track, Clockify, or Harvest and find your own number instead of guessing.

2. Tool and software costs

AI subscriptions, design tools (Figma, the Adobe apps, Canva Pro), project management (Notion, Asana, ClickUp), accounting (QuickBooks, FreshBooks, Wave), scheduling (Calendly), video (Loom, Descript), hosting, domains, and so on. Add them up monthly. Most people are surprised at the total.

3. Taxes and benefits

If you're self-employed in the US, you generally pay both halves of Social Security and Medicare, plus income tax, and you fund your own health insurance, retirement, and paid time off. Rates and rules vary by country and situation, so ask a tax professional about yours. The general principle holds everywhere, though: a freelance dollar isn't worth an employee dollar.

4. Learning and R&D

AI tools change constantly. A workflow that was state of the art six months ago may be obsolete. You'll spend real hours every month keeping up, and that time has to be paid for somewhere in your pricing, or you're funding it from your own pocket.

5. Quality control and risk

This is the cost AI adds that most people ignore. AI produces plausible-looking output that can be wrong. It makes up statistics, attributes quotes to people who never said them, writes code that runs but has security holes, and generates images with subtle problems like mangled hands, garbled text, or elements that may be too close to existing copyrighted work. Catching all of that takes skill and time, and it's what separates a professional from someone who pastes prompts into a chatbot. If something slips through, your reputation takes the hit, and depending on your contract and jurisdiction you may carry legal exposure too. Price for the checking, because the checking is the job.

How to Calculate Your Real Floor

Your floor is the minimum effective hourly rate you need to earn across all your work to pay for your life and your business. It's not what you charge. It's the number below

which you're losing ground. Everything you quote from here on should clear it comfortably.

Here's the formula. Work it with your own numbers:

1. **Target annual take-home income.** What you want to actually live on after taxes. Be honest, not aspirational.
2. **Add estimated taxes.** Ask an accountant for a realistic effective rate for your situation. Don't guess low.
3. **Add annual business costs.** Tools times 12, plus equipment, insurance, professional services, and education.
4. **Add benefits you self-fund.** Health insurance, retirement contributions, a buffer for slow months.
5. **That total is your required annual revenue.**
6. **Estimate your billable hours per year.** Weeks you actually work (subtract vacation, holidays, sick days, and slow periods) times billable hours per week (not total hours).
7. **Divide required revenue by billable hours.** That's your floor effective hourly rate.

Illustrative scenario with round numbers: say someone needs \$120,000 in annual revenue to cover take-home pay, taxes, tools, and benefits. They realistically work 46 weeks a year at 25 billable hours a week, which is 1,150 billable hours. $\$120,000 \div 1,150 \approx \$104$ per billable hour. That's their floor. If they were charging \$60 an hour, they were slowly going broke without realizing it.

Notice that the floor is an *effective* rate. When you switch to project pricing, you use it to check your quotes: estimate how long a project will really take you, including revisions and communication, and make sure the project price divided by those hours clears the floor with room to spare.

That's the core idea of this book. **You quote the value. You check the quote against your floor. You never tell the client the hours.**

The Pricing Models That Don't Punish Efficiency

There are four main alternatives to hourly. Each has real strengths and real failure modes. I'll give you both.

Model 1: Fixed project pricing

What it is: one price for a defined scope. "Five blog posts of roughly 1,500 words each, including one round of revisions: \$X."

Why it works with AI: your efficiency gains become profit. If you finish faster, you keep the difference. The client gets price certainty, which most clients prefer anyway because it's easier to budget and approve.

Where it fails: scope creep. If you don't define exactly what's included, how many revisions, what counts as a revision versus new work, and what the client has to provide by when, a fixed price becomes an unlimited obligation. The most common way freelancers lose money on fixed pricing is a vague scope. Write it down, every time.

Best for: repeatable deliverables with clear edges. Content pieces, design assets, specific automations, edited media, landing pages.

Model 2: Value-based pricing

What it is: a price tied to the economic value the work creates for the client, not to your cost or time.

Why it works with AI: it fully decouples price from hours. If an automation saves a client's team a meaningful chunk of labor every week, the value is the same whether you built it in three days or three hours.

Where it fails: it's hard to do well and easy to do badly. You need to understand the client's business, and they need to share real numbers. Many small clients either don't know their numbers or won't tell you. Value-based pricing also invites skepticism if you can't plausibly connect your work to the outcome. A copywriter claiming credit for a client's whole revenue jump is going to get laughed out of the room. And plenty of work has fuzzy value that's hard to measure. Value-based pricing is a mindset first

and a formula second. Most people should start with fixed project pricing that's *informed* by value, not pure value pricing.

Best for: work with measurable business impact (automations that save time, sales-focused copy, systems that reduce errors) sold to clients who know and will discuss their numbers.

Model 3: Productized services

What it is: a standardized package with a fixed scope, fixed price, and usually a fixed turnaround, listed on your site like a product. "Podcast episode edit plus show notes plus three social clips: \$X per episode, 72-hour turnaround."

Why it works with AI: AI is excellent at standardized, repeatable workflows. Once you've built the process, with templates, prompts, checklists, and QC steps, each unit costs you less time while the price stays the same. Productized services also make selling easier because the client doesn't need a custom proposal.

Where it fails: rigidity. Some clients will want customizations that break your process, and you'll need the discipline to either charge for them as add-ons or say no. It also commoditizes you to some degree. Clients compare your package to other packages. You still have to differentiate on quality, speed, reliability, or specialization.

Best for: anyone who does the same kind of job over and over. That's most freelancers, even if they don't think of themselves that way.

Model 4: Retainers

What it is: a recurring monthly fee for ongoing access, a defined volume of work, or both.

Why it works with AI: predictable monthly revenue, plus your efficiency gains accrue to you over time. Clients also value the relief of having someone on call who already knows their business.

Where it fails: retainers defined as "X hours per month" are just hourly billing in a monthly costume, with the same efficiency penalty. Retainers with vague scope ("help with our marketing") turn into unlimited-work traps. The good version defines